

The RES Integration Study – Methodology, Overall Results and Recommendations

Brussels, 7 December 2011

Jan-Benjamin Spitzley, Edoardo Binda Zane, Robert Brückmann





Policy Consulting

Strategy Consulting

Financial Advisory

Market Intelligence

Agenda

Structure of presentation

- Purpose of the contract & tasks
- Methodology
- Main findings
- Barriers & solutions
- NREAP assessment





Task of Study

Purpose of contract & tasks:

- "Assessment of current legal, technical and operational framework of grid and electricity market related issues in each of the EU-27 Member States."
- "Establishment of a comparative study of the present situation and the future plans, description of the regulatory framework and identification of best practices and recommendations regarding grid and market integration of electricity from renewable sources."
- "Assessment of Compliance with the requirements of Article 16 of Directive 2009/28/EC on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources."
- "Evaluation of the consistency, viability and credibility of the foreseen measures related to the sectoral electricity targets reported under each NREAP."
- *"Formulation of recommendation for each Member State and the EU as a whole."*





Methodology

RES-Integration

Methodology steps I







Methodology

RES-Integration

Methodology steps II







Grid connection as very critical phase for integration





Main Findings

Grid connection as very critical phase for integration

40 barriers detected (Operation: 7; Development:16)

Most information available

No opposition to current focus of debate on development

7





Very strong link between connection and development phase







Main Findings

RES-Integration

Very strong link between connection and development phase

Two sides of same medal

Reciprocity of barriers

Especially relevant for costs as well as for waiting time



Grid operation presently no serious issue





Main Findings

Grid operation presently no serious issue

Low share of volatile RES-E in many MS Situation may change dramatically in the future

Early steps required to minimise future impact





Grid development suffers quite strong lack of regulatory instruments





Main Findings

Grid development suffers quite strong lack of regulatory instruments

High costs for grid operators for RES integration Current regulatory instruments only partially cover costs

Problem especially at DSO level in regions with low population and high RES-E potential

Unbundling has impaired financial situation of some grid operators



NREAPs mainly do not address the barriers identified in this study





Main Findings

NREAPs mainly do not address the barriers identified in this study

MS were not aware of issues

MS had no interest in sharing information with Commission

Issue did not fit in categories of NREAP template



15



Grid Connection – Barrier Analysis

RES-Integration

Lack of grid capacity / different pace of grid and RES-E development



- Impossibility of connection due to insufficient infrastructure
- Higher growth rate of RES-E than development rate of infrastructure
 Consequence:
 - deployment and RES-E integration slowed

down





Different pace of grid & RES-E development leading to lack of grid capacity

Enhance coordination between RES-E & grid infrastructure development





Concrete Steps:











- Dedicated regional platforms (All Island project – Ireland)
- Development in neighbouring countries process (Austria)









Data	EU Projects	Cooperation
 Clear data basis of existing and planned RES-E plants Ambitious long term targets (2020-2050) 	 Master plans including overall electricity sector (Planning process in Estonia, TYNDP) 	 Dedicated regional platforms (All Island project – Ireland) Development in neighbouring countries process (Austria)





Grid Connection – Barrier Analysis

RES-Integration

Virtual saturation and speculation



Connection not possible, because whole capacity is reserved by plants that are not yet connected

 Speculation main reason





Speculative behaviour and virtual saturation

Adopt national legal framework to more and different stakeholders





Concrete Steps:

Milestones

- Intermediate steps and milestones
- Achievement of milestones conditional for grid reservation (France, Estonia, Germany...)

Reservation fee

 Fee for project developers upon reserving capacity (Bulgaria and Poland)

Open issue

 What solution for countries where virtual saturation is already existing?







Open issue

 What solution for countries where virtual saturation is already existing?



Milestones

- Intermediate steps and milestones
- Achievement of milestones conditional for grid reservation (France, Estonia, Germany...)

Reservation fee

 Fee for project developers upon reserving capacity (Bulgaria and Poland) Final choice not necessary

 What solution for countries where virtual saturation is already existing?





Milestones

- Intermediate steps and milestones
- Achievement of milestones conditional for grid reservation (France, Estonia, Germany...)

Initialise discuss	ion
process	Open issue
 Fee for project	 What solution
developers	for countries
upon reserving	where virtual
capacity	saturation is
(Bulgaria and	already
Poland)	existing?



Grid Connection – Barrier Analysis

RES-Integration

Lack of communication & conflicts & weak position of plant operators



Communication
 problems and other
 general conflicts
 between grid
 operators and plant
 operators

- Weak position of plant operators
- Lack of trust in judicial procedures



Steps at European level – General guidelines

Lack of communication, conflicts and weak position of plant operators

Improve communication between stakeholders



Concrete Steps:

Process

- Opportunities for communication at early stage of process
- Central bodies providing out-ofcourt decisions (EEG Clearingstelle – Germany)

Platform

 Communication platforms (Electricity Networks Strategy Groups – Great Britain, Plan N – Germany)

Direct link

 Permanent representation of stakeholder groups for continuous contact





Process

Legal definitions

- Opportunities for communication at early stage of process
- Central bodies providing out-ofcourt decisions (EEG Clearingstelle – Germany)

 Communication platforms (Electricity Networks Strategy Groups – Great Britain, Plan N – Germany)

Direct link

 Permanent representation of stakeholder groups for continuous contact





Process

- Opportunities for communication at early stage of process
- Central bodies providing out-ofcourt decisions (EEG Clearingstelle – Germany)

Platform

 Communication platforms (Electricity Networks Strategy Groups – Great Britain, Plan N – Germany)

Support initiatives

 Permanent representation of stakeholder groups for continuous contact





Process

- Opportunities for communication at early stage of process
- Central bodies providing out-ofcourt decisions (EEG Clearingstelle – Germany)

Encourage actio	ns Direct link
Communication platforms (Electricity Networks Strategy Groups – Great Britain, Plan N – Germany)	 Permanent representation of stakeholder groups for continuous contact



Grid development – Barrier Analysis

Lack of incentives & regulatory instruments for the grid operator to integrate RES-E



 Mismatch between incentive regulation that aims at cost reduction and network extension needed for RES-E development that leads to higher costs

 Particular problem at DSO level in case of DSOs in large and uninhabitated areas





Steps at European level – General guidelines

Lack of incentives or regulatory instruments for grid development

Increase investment security for grid development





Concrete Steps:

RES-E integration considered by regulation

 Provide priority premiums for projects supporting integration of RES-E

 Improve deadlines through carrotfor-less-andstick-for-moresolution

Financing

 Financial assistance for investments in large projects of grid operators, where required

Modalities

- Decrease minimum limits of eligible costs for
 - reimbursement
- Allow for reimbursement of staff costs and IT investments




Actions at European level:

RES-E integration considered by regulation

 Provide priority premiums for projects supporting integration of RES-E

 Improve deadlines through carrotfor-less-andstick-for-moresolution **European projects**

 Financial assistance for investments in large projects of grid operators, where required Modalities

- Decrease minimum limits of eligible costs for
 - reimbursement
- Allow for reimbursement of staff costs and IT investments





Steps at European level – Increase investment security

Actions at European level:

RES-E integration considered by regulation

 Provide priority premiums for projects supporting integration of RES-E

 Improve deadlines through carrotfor-less-andstick-for-moresolution

Financing	Encourage or harmonise
 Financial assistance for investments in large projects of grid operators, where required 	 Decrease minimum limits of eligible costs for reimbursement Allow for reimbursement of staff costs and IT investments





Actions at European level:

RES-E integration considered by regulation

 Provide priority premiums for projects supporting integration of RES-E

 Improve deadlines through carrotfor-less-andstick-for-moresolution







Grid Connection – Barrier Analysis

RES-Integration

Long lead times / inefficient procedures – development phase



Time the plant
 operator needs to wait
 before connection to
 the grid and feeding of
 electricity in the grid

 Strongest category assessed throughout the EU 27 Member States





Long lead times and complex procedures

Increase efficiency of grid development process





Concrete Steps:

Analysis

 Identification of existing inefficiencies

Organisation

- Shift of workload to private actors
- Harmonisation and simplification of requirements

Processes

- Fast-track procedure for specific projects
- One-stopshop procedure





Action at European level:







Action at European level:







Action at European level:







Considerations on NREAP assessment

MS were not aware of barriers

- Enhance information flow in MS
 - monitoring mechanism
 - collection of interviews
 - "suggestion box"

MS had no interest in sharing barrier

- Convince MS that it is them who mainly benefit from the NREAP process
- Provide the Commission with sufficient information to base its findings on own sources

Categories of NREAP template not suitable

 Widen the scope of the NREAP template and supplement it by open questions





Summary of main findings

- Grid connection as very critical phase for integration
- Very strong link between connection and development phase
- Grid operation presently no serious issue, but potentially in the future
- Grid development suffers quite strong lack of regulatory instruments
- NREAPs mainly do not address the barriers identified in this study







ideas into energy.

Policy Consulting

Strategy Consulting

Financial Advisory

Market Intelligence