

# H<sub>2</sub> utilisation pathways and their climate impact


Dr. Matthias Koch and Christoph Heinemann

2<sup>nd</sup> Modellers' Exchange Workshop, Paris Agreement Compatible  
Scenarios for Energy Infrastructure

Bruxelles, October 16<sup>th</sup> 2019

# H<sub>2</sub> utilisation pathways

Source: <https://www.flickr.com/photos/oekoinstitut/48378513216/in/photostream/>



Zufuhr von:

- Strom
- Wasser
- Luft
- Niedertemperaturwärme
- Hochtemperaturwärme
- nachhaltige Biomasse


Syntheseprozess




QUELLE: ÖKO-INSTITUT 2019, CC BY-SA 2.0

# Electrical efficiency ratio H<sub>2</sub> utilisation pathways


Source: <https://www.flickr.com/photos/oekoinstitut/48705446082/in/photostream/>




E-Hydrogen (gaseous)




E-Hydrogen (liquid)




E-Methan (gaseous)




E-Ammonia



E-Methan (liquid)



E-Methanol



E-Fuel

**Umwandlungseffizienz**

Pro eingesetzter Kilowattstunde Strom verbleiben x Prozent im PtX-Produkt

- PtX (today)
- ▨ PtX (future)


QUELLE: ÖKO-INSTITUT 2019, CC BY-SA 2.0

## Relevant components for H<sub>2</sub> production pathways

- Conventional production: Reforming of **natural gas or other hydrocarbons**
  - Steam reforming (endothermic process): heat and water vapour
  - Partial oxidation (exothermic process): oxygen or air
  - CO<sub>2</sub>-emissions of H<sub>2</sub> result from natural gas and other fossil hydrocarbons
  
- Electrolysis of water:
  - PEM (Polymer Electrolyte Membrane) Electrolysis: **electricity**
  - High Temperature Electrolysis: heat and **electricity**
  - CO<sub>2</sub>-emissions of H<sub>2</sub> depend on specific CO<sub>2</sub>-emissions of electricity generation

## Power-to-X: Comparison of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from PtX and fossil fuels

Climate-friendly only with very high shares of renewable electricity



**PtX lead to CO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions**


- Energy content PtX in relation to energy content electricity input: 45% (corresponds to synthetic diesel production)
- Energy content PtX in relation to energy content electricity input: 70% (corresponds to hydrogen production)
- Electricity mix according to the Oeko-Institut's Klimaschutzszenario 2050 (KS 95)
- Electricity mix according to Energierferenzprognose (ERP)
- Electricity mix in Germany 2018

# Fuel mix and CO<sub>2</sub>-emissions of electricity generation in Europe 2016

## Specific CO<sub>2</sub>-emissions of electricity generation in 2016:

- Norway: 10 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh
- Sweden: 40 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh
- Switzerland: 50 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh
- France: 60 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh
- Austria: 85 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh
- Slovakia: 195 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh

→ Countries with large amounts of hydro and / or nuclear power stay currently below 200 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh.



# Renewable targets of electricity generation in Europe

## More than 100% target


- Norway: 115 % (2020)

## 100% target

- Austria (2030)
- Denmark (2035)
- Sweden (2040)
- Switzerland (2050)
- Spain (2050)

## At least 50% target

- Germany: 65% (2030)
- Italy: 55% (2030)




# Parameters for PtX plants with positive climate impact

- CO<sub>2</sub> intensity of electricity generation must be
  - less than 200 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh for e-hydrogen and
  - less than 140 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh for e-fuels respectively.
  - PtX plants need criteria for **additional** renewable electricity.
  - PtX plants should be **flexible** enough for fluctuating renewables.
  
- Scale up of PtX plants should start with industrial e-hydrogen production
  - Show highest efficiency ratio
  - Comparatively low further infrastructure is needed
  - Refineries, chemical plants, steel production (e.g. voestalpine in Linz, <https://h2future-project.eu/> )



# Demand of Power-to-X fuels in different climate protection scenarios for Germany


Source: Christoph Heinemann, Peter Kasten and others (2019) „Die Bedeutung strombasierter Stoffe für den Klimaschutz in Deutschland“



- PtX is not a relevant climate protection measure until 2030
- Significant increase of PtX between 2040 and 2050, depending on the assumed climate protection strategies

# Electricity demand in Germany 2050: minimum and maximum approximation

Source: Christoph Heinemann, Peter Kasten and others (2019) „Die Bedeutung strombasierter Stoffe für den Klimaschutz in Deutschland“



- Electricity demand is going to increase significantly due to sector coupling
- Minimum approximation: more efficiency measures and biomass utilization
- Maximum approximation: more Power-to-X → import

# Conclusions I

- Cascade of using (renewable) electricity
  - Efficiency first! – reducing demand
  - Direct utilization of electricity (e.g. e-mobility or heat pumps)
  - Power-to-X, where there is no other possibility (e.g. aviation)
- For a positive climate impact of e-hydrogen, the electricity used must show a CO<sub>2</sub> intensity less than 200 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh.
  - Only few countries reach this target today, especially hydro and nuclear power dominated countries
  - An electricity mix of natural gas and renewable energies starts getting below of 200 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh from 50% RES share
  - Coal phase out is essential for Power-to-X

## Conclusions II

- For Power-to-X **additional** RES is needed (outside the national and European support schemes and frameworks)
- Flexibility of Power-to-X components is essential for using fluctuating renewable energies (trade of between flexibility and efficiency of electrolysis technologies)
- A significant part of the demand of e-fuels must be produced outside Europe, which leads to further interactions:
  - Renewable electrification of the country
  - Political stability of the country
  - Development of the country

## Modeling aspects of Power-to-X

- Model based evaluation of the climate impact of Power-to-X plants via scenario comparison
  - **Baseline scenario** without Power-to-X and with conventional production of X (including the corresponding CO<sub>2</sub>-Emissions of using X)
  - **PtX scenario** with electricity based production of X (including the corresponding CO<sub>2</sub>-Emissions for electricity production)
  - Delta of baseline scenario and PtX scenario is the positive or negative climate impact.
- Additional demand constraint to produce X
  - Option 1: conventional process
  - Option 2: electrolysis process

# Techno-economic and additional framework aspects of modeling Power-to-X

- Modeling flexibility of the plants
  - Minimum load, maximum load and available load change rate of the different components of the process chain (electrolysis, methanation, Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis, Hbaer-Bosch Synthesis,...)
  - Storage capacity for different X-products within the process chain
- Efficiency ratio and variable costs
- Indirect constraints for using only electricity with a maximum CO<sub>2</sub> intensity for Power-to-X to guarantee in advance that the climate impact is positive.

## Further information I

- Strombasierte Kraftstoffe im Vergleich – Stand heute und die Langfristperspektive <https://www.oeko.de/oekodoc/1826/2013-496-de.pdf>
- Prüfung der klimapolitischen Konsistenz und der Kosten von Methanisierungsstrategien <https://www.oeko.de/oekodoc/2005/2014-021-de.pdf>
- Presseerklärung zur Förderung von PtX <https://www.oeko.de/presse/archiv-presse-meldungen/2019/power-to-x-transparent-und-nachhaltig-foerdern/>
- Essential elements of sound sustainability criteria for powerfuels  
Impulsvortrag von Christof Timpe, Powerfuels in the European energy transition: The need for effective regulation, 17 Juni 2019, Brüssel <https://www.oeko.de/fileadmin/oekodoc/Impulse-GA-Powerfuels-Brussels.pdf>

## Further information II

- Koch and other (2019): Modellbasierte Szenarienuntersuchung der Entwicklungen im deutschen Stromsystem unter Berücksichtigung des europäischen Kontexts bis 2050  
<https://www.oeko.de/publikationen/p-details/modellbasierte-szenarienuntersuchung-der-entwicklungen-im-deutschen-stromsystem-unter-beruecksichtigu/>
- Christoph Heinemann, Peter Kasten and others (2019) „Die Bedeutung strombasierter Stoffe für den Klimaschutz in Deutschland“; working paper written within the Kopernikus project ENSURE <https://www.oeko.de/fileadmin/oekodoc/PtX-Hintergrundpapier.pdf>



# Contact

## **Dr. Matthias Koch**

Senior researcher

### **Öko-Institut e.V.**

Geschäftsstelle Freiburg

Postfach 17 71

79017 Freiburg

Telefon: +49 761 45295-218

E-Mail: [m.koch@oeko.de](mailto:m.koch@oeko.de)

## **Christoph Heinemann**

Senior researcher

### **Öko-Institut e.V.**

Geschäftsstelle Freiburg

Postfach 17 71

79017 Freiburg

Telefon: +49 761 45295-228

E-Mail: [c.heinemann@oeko.de](mailto:c.heinemann@oeko.de)