

Forest Vision Germany

Fern panel event:

„Achieving the 1.5° target with forests – What role for the EU?”


Öko-Institut

Dr. Klaus Hennenberg

Dr. Hannes Böttcher (Project Coordination)

Christian Winger

Brussels, 7. March 2018



The study was commissioned by Greenpeace.

Scenario development took place in co-operation with Naturwald Akademie (Lübeck).

Motivation and goals of Forest Vision

Motivation:

- Spark a debate on the development of future-proof, sustainable and ecological forestry in Germany



Goals

- Develop a scenario for alternative ecological forest management in Germany, so the so-called 'Forest Vision'
- Compare the Forest Vision with a Base Scenario and a Timber Scenario by means of a simulation model
- Computing indicators related to climate protection, biodiversity and economy


Model structure and data

Sub-models:

- Tree growth model
- Ingrowth model
- Mortality model
- Deadwood model
- Soil carbon model
- Sorting and classification of wood products

Data source

- Forest inventories 2002 and 2012
- Simulation for >18.000 plots



FABio – **F**orestry and **A**griculture **B**iomass Model

Scenarios and management settings

Base Scenario:

- Projection of existing conditions

Timber Scenario


- Support of coniferous trees
- Intensification of forest management (higher thinning and extraction rates)

Forest vision

- Support of broadleaf trees
- Reduced management intensity and increased target diameters
- Additional protection of areas of rare natural forest communities and old forests (16.6% instead of 4.1%)

Strong synergies btwn. climate and nature protection

– Carbon stock in forest living biomass –




Accounting period (2021-2030):

- Base: 22 Mio t CO₂/a → reference level
- Timber: debit of -16 Mio t CO₂/a
- Forest vision: credit of + 28 Mio t CO₂/a


* Including soil, dead wood, HWP

Strong synergy btwn. climate and nature protection

– Diameter classes –



Wood supply



Forest Visions' take home messages

Strong synergies between climate and nature protection...

- More extensive forest management
 - Maintained CO₂ sink in forests and HWP
 - Strong habitat improvements

...but not for free:

- 25% less wood supply
 - Still high production of spruce wood (currently main source for material use)
 - Reduced availability of broadleaf wood (currently about 50% used for direct energy wood)
- Needed: Significant increase in the efficiency of wood use through more material and less direct energetic use

Urgent questions

- How large are potential substitution effects (problem of data availability and reducing rates with higher decarbonisation in the future)?

Substitution effects (kg CO ₂ -eq / kg CO ₂ in wood)	today	2050	2100
Materials	1-2	?	?
Energy	ca. 0.5		

Rüter et al. (2016)
Frühwald/Knauf (2013)

- May the support of direct use of wood for energy under the RED II impair synergies between climate and nature protection?

	EU Gross final energy consumption (Mtoe)	Roundwood equivalent (Mm ³)	Mean annual increment of EU 28 (Mm ³)
Heating and cooling (mean 2020 and 2030)	513	Heat pumps? Solar? Wood?	
Share additional renewable energy (2030: 10%)	51,3	236	744

Overall conclusion



Thank you for your attention!

Dr. Hannes Böttcher

Senior Researcher
Project Coordination Forest Vision

Öko-Institut e.V.

Energie & Klimaschutz
Büro Berlin
Schicklerstraße 5-7
10179 Berlin

Telefon: +49 30 40 50 85-389

E-Mail: h.boettcher@oeko.de

Dr. Klaus Hennenberg

Senior Researcher

Öko-Institut e.V.

Energie & Klimaschutz
Büro Darmstadt
Rheinstraße 95
64295 Darmstadt

Telefon: +49 61 51 81 91-177

E-Mail: k.hennenberg@oeko.de

www.waldvision.de → interactive graphs, reports