

Assessment of the impacts on transport costs for different ship sizes

Jakob Graichen IMO ISWG-GHG Side Event London, 7 May 2019







- Initial IMO GHG strategy:
 - 50% reduction compared to 2008 by 2050
 - Peaking as soon as possible
 - "use of speed optimization and speed reduction as a measure"
- Relationship between slower speeds and fuel consumption follows a cubic function:

Speed reduction	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%
Fuel savings	14%	27%	39%	49%	58%	66%

 Slow steaming most promising high-impact short-term measure to reduce GHG emissions from shipping



Impact of slow steaming on GHG emissions (dry bulk, oil tanker and container fleet)



- Crucial questions for
 - Exporting countries/industries: how will slow steaming impact their market position?
 - Importing countries (and especially SIDS): how will slow steaming impact consumer prices?
- Most studies assess the impact of CO₂ prices on the sector and nations (e.g. World Bank 2019, Vivid Economics 2010)
- Case studies have assessed the impact of slow steaming on exporting nations (e.g. CE Delft 2017)
- This study assess the impact of slow steaming on transport costs which affect both exporter's market position as well as consumer prices

Slow steaming and transport costs

- Main contributors to transport costs:
 - Capital costs (purchasing or leasing of vessel)
 - Operational costs (crew, insurance, repairs, ...)
 - Voyage costs (fuel, port charges, ...)
 - Earnings of ship owner
- Longer transport times will lead to higher costs/trip for:
 - Capital costs, operational costs, earnings (proportional increase with time at sea)
 - Fuel costs for auxiliary engines (proportional increase with time at sea)
- Fuel costs savings (main engines) depend on the speed reduction (cubic relationship)

Methodology to model impact of slow steaming on transport costs

- Model calculates relative change of transport costs per trip
- All parameters are calculated as daily rates
- Distance does not affect relative results; absolute costs strongly depend on distance.
- Modelling for three different ship types and ranges for some parameters:

Ship type	Fuel	Auxiliary fuel	Speed	Operation	Capital	Earnings			
	consumption	consumption		costs	costs				
	[t/day]	[%]	[kn]	[\$/day]	[\$/day]	[\$/day]			
Panamax	37.7	10 (5 – 15)	13.8	5 700	2 700	10 000 (5 000 – 15 000)			
Handysize	22.2	10 (5 – 15)	12.7	5 000	2 200	7 500 (4 000 – 12 000)			
Capesize	55.5	10 (5 – 15)	13.6	6 700	5 500	12 500 (5 000 – 20 000)			
Source:	IMO (2014);	Greiner (2017);	Kemene	e (2018); UN	CTAD (20)18)			

• Fuel price assumption: 500 (250-750) USD/ton

Impact of slow steaming on transport costs in the reference case



Impact of slow steaming on handysize bulk carriers



www.oeko.de



Impact of slow steaming on Panamax bulk carriers



Impact of slow steaming on Capesize bulk carriers



Key messages

- For most scenarios slower steaming will bring down transport costs;
- Fuel price has highest impact on economic viability of slow steaming;
- Depending on the ship type there is a) an economically optimal speed (minimum) and b) a maximum speed reduction which would maintain transport cost (break even point);
- impact on freight rates depends on the cost-pass through and might be smaller than the actual change of transport costs;
- Maritime transport costs contribute with less than 5% to consumer prices in most cases; small changes in either direction will not have a significant impact.

Jakob Graichen

Senior Researcher

Öko-Institut e.V. Büro Berlin Schicklerstraße 5-7 10179 Berlin



Estimation of bulk freight costs for a selection of illustrative routes and different assumption

	Round trip	Speed reduction	Ty pical speed	Days at sea	Reduced fuel consumption (main engine)	Total daily fuel con- sumption	Main engine	Auxiliary engine	Fuel consumption costs		Operation costs (other than fuel consumption)		Capital cost		Earnings		Total cost	
	[nm]	[%]	[kn]	[d]	[%]	[t/d]	[t/d]	[t/d]	[t/trip]	[\$/trip]	[\$/d]	[\$/trip]	[\$/d]	[\$/trip]	[\$/d]	[\$/trip]	[\$/trip]	[change]
Bulk, Panamax (500 USD/t fuel)	20,000	0	13.8	60.5		37.7	33.9	- 3.8 	2,282	1,140,765	5,700 - 	344,953		163,399		605,180	2,254,297	
		10	12.4	67.2	27	28.5	24.7		1,917	958,369		383,281	-2,700 -	181,554	-10,000 -	672,423	2,195,627	-2.6%
		20	11.0	75.6	49	21.1	17.4		1,599	799,676		431,191		204,248		756,475	2,191,591	-2.8%
		30	9.6	86.5	66	15.4	11.6		1,332	666,044		492,790		233,427		864,543	2,256,804	0.1%
Bulk, Panamax (250 USD/t fuel)	20,000	0	13.8	60.5		37.7	33.9		2,282	570,382	5,700 ·	344,953		163,399	 -10,000 - 	605,180	1,683,914	
		10	12.4	67.2	27	28.5	24.7	20	1,917	479,185		383,281	2 700	00 181,554 204,248		672,423	1,716,442	1.9%
		20	11.0	75.6	49	21.1	17.4	- 3.0	1,599	399,838		431,191	-2,700			756,475	1,791,753	6.4%
		30	9.6	86.5	66	15.4	11.6		1,332	333,022		492,790	_	233,427		864,543	1,923,782	14.2%
Bulk, Panamax (800 USD/t fuel)	20,000	0	13.8	60.5		37.7	33.9	 3.8 	2,282	1,825,224	5,700 ·	344,953	_	163,399	 -10,000 - 	605,180	2,938,756	
		10	12.4	67.2	27	28.5	24.7		1,917	1,533,391		383,281	-2,700	181,554		672,423	2,770,648	-5.7%
		20	11.0	75.6	49	21.1	17.4		1,599	1,279,482		431,191		204,248		756,475	2,671,397	-9.1%
		30	9.6	86.5	66	15.4	11.6		1,332	1,065,670		492,790		233,427		864,543	2,656,430	-9.6%
Bulk,		0	13.6	91.8		55.5	50.0	-5.6	5,095	2,547,367	6,700 ·	615,040		504,884	 -12,500 - 	1,147,463	4,814,754	
(500	30,000	10	12.3	102.0	27	42.0	36.4		4,280	2,140,072		683,378	- 	560,982		1,274,959	4,659,390	-3.2%
USD/t fuel)		20	10.9	114.7	49	31.1	25.6		3,571	1,785,704		768,800	-5,500 -631,1	631,105		1,434,328	4,619,937	-4.0%
		30	9.5	131.1	66	22.7	17.1		2,975	1,487,299		878,629		721,262		1,639,232	4,726,422	-1.8%
Bulk, Handysize (500 USD/t fuel)	6,000	0	12.7	19.6		22.2	20.0	- 	436	218,023	5,000 ·	98,209		43,212		147,313	506,757	
		10	11.5	21.8	27	16.8	14.6		366	183,164		109,121	2,200 48,013 54,015	48,013		163,681	503,979	-0.5%
		20	10.2	24.6	49	12.4	10.2		306	152,834		122,761		- 1,500 -	184,141	513,751	1.4%	
		30	8.9	28.1	66	9.1	6.9		255	127,294		140,298		61,731		210,447	539,771	6.5%

ビ Öko-Institut e.V.